

**Appl. No.** : **10/615,336**  
**Filed** : **July 8, 2003**

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

### Identification of Claims Discussed

24, 25, 28, 41, 47

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Pat. No. 5,408,873 (Schmidt) and U.S. Pat. No. 6,922,592 (Thompson et al.)

### Proposed Amendments

Applicants proposed amending the claims, as provided above, and explained below.

### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants argued that Schmidt and Thompson do not disclose, teach or suggest the claims, as amended herein. Therefore, the amended claims overcome the pending rejections.

### Results of Interview

Applicants agreed to file a supplemental response with amendments distinguishing over the prior art references. The Examiner stated that the proposed amendments to the claims as set forth herein would overcome the pending rejections and that he would consider these amendments but would perform a subsequent prior art search.